

ToCA: Complete Formula Reference

Every Formula Behind Every Prediction

Henrik Lehn — Copenhagen, March 2026

*All formulas derive from six axioms and FCC lattice geometry (z=12, 13 cell elements).
Zero free parameters.*

Geometric Constants (from FCC lattice)

<code>Z = 12</code>	Coordination number (neighbors per node)
<code>N_cell = 13</code>	Cell elements (1 center + 12 neighbors)
<code>N_twist = 2</code>	Twist channels (rotation requires 2 orthogonal planes)
<code>N_gradient = 11</code>	Gradient channels (remaining)
<code>β_max = 1/4 = 0.25</code>	Maximum of $f(1-f)$, geometric property
<code>N_channels = 30</code>	Active processing channels: $2 \times (90^\circ \text{ pairs}) + (180^\circ \text{ pair})$

FCC angle pairs:
60° pairs: 24
90° pairs: 12 (twist-capable)
120° pairs: 24
180° pairs: 6 (direct compression)
Total: 66

Formula 1: Baryon-to-Matter Ratio

$$\Omega_b / \Omega_m = 2/13$$

Input: FCC cell has 13 elements. Twist uses 2. Gradient uses 11.

Output: $2/13 = 0.15385$

Observed: 0.15711 (Planck 2018)

Match: 2.1%

Physical meaning: Baryonic matter (visible, has charge) is twist-locked tension: 2 out

of 13 cell elements participate. Dark matter is gradient-locked tension: 11 out of 13. No new particle needed.

Formula 2: Latency Correction to Baryon Ratio

$$\Omega_b/\Omega_m \text{ (measured)} = (2/13) \times (1 + \beta_{\text{max}} \times \Delta H/H_0)$$

where:

$$\Delta H/H_0 = (H_0_{\text{local}} - H_0_{\text{CMB}}) / H_0_{\text{CMB}} = (73.0 - 67.4) / 67.4 = 0.0831$$

$$\beta_{\text{max}} = 1/4$$

$$\text{Output: } (2/13) \times (1 + 0.25 \times 0.0831) = 0.15385 \times 1.02078 = 0.15704$$

Observed: 0.15711 (Planck 2018)

Match: 0.04%

Physical meaning: The true baryon ratio is exactly 2/13. But our measurement is biased by latency — the same substrate effect that causes the Hubble tension. The bias propagates through β_{max} (the maximum coupling efficiency between locked and relaxed sectors).

Formula 3: Sound Horizon

$$r_d = c_s \times t_{\text{ls}} \times 2$$

where:

$$c_s = c / \sqrt{3} \quad \text{Sound speed in radiation-dominated substrate}$$

$$c = l_p / t_p \quad \text{Speed of light} = 1 \text{ node per n-shift}$$

$$t_{\text{ls}} = 380,000 \text{ yr} \quad \text{Time of last scattering}$$

Factor 2: sound wave travels in both directions

In practice:

$$r_d \text{ (proper)} = c/\sqrt{3} \times 380,000 \times 3.156 \times 10^7 \text{ s} = 0.135 \text{ Mpc}$$

$$r_d \text{ (comoving)} = r_d \text{ (proper)} \times (1+z_{\text{ls}}) = 0.135 \times 1091 = 147.3 \text{ Mpc}$$

Output: 147.3 Mpc

Observed: 147.1 Mpc (Planck 2018)

Match: 0.1%

Physical meaning: The sound horizon is the distance sound travels in the radiation-

dominated substrate from the start to last scattering. $c/\sqrt{3}$ is the sound speed because in a radiation-dominated medium, pressure = $\rho/3$.

Formula 4: Total Matter Fraction

$$f_{\text{total}} = f_{\text{twist}} \times (N_{\text{cell}} / N_{\text{twist}}) / (1 + \beta_{\text{max}})$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{twist}} &\approx 0.06 && \text{Baryonic fraction (from FCC simulation)} \\ N_{\text{cell}} / N_{\text{twist}} &= 13/2 = 6.5 && \text{Total channels / twist channels} \\ \beta_{\text{max}} &= 1/4 = 0.25 && \text{Saturation correction} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Output: } 0.06 \times 6.5 / 1.25 = 0.312$$

$$\text{Observed: } 0.314 \text{ (Planck 2018)}$$

$$\text{Match: } 0.6\%$$

Physical meaning: The total locked fraction is the baryonic (twist) fraction scaled up by the total-to-twist channel ratio, corrected for saturation. The saturation factor $(1 + \beta_{\text{max}})$ arises because gradient-locking competes with itself — the more that is locked, the less is available. β_{max} is the maximum coupling efficiency.

Why $f_{\text{twist}} \approx 0.06$: The FCC simulation with dynamic k and $\lambda/\mu = 11/2$ produces $f \approx 0.06$ at converged lattice sizes ($L \geq 30$). This is the twist-locking (baryonic) fraction. Gradient-locking (dark matter) requires multi-scale cascade that small simulations cannot capture.

Formula 5: Hubble Constant

$$H_0 = c / (N_{\text{channels}} \times r_{\text{d}})$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} c &= 2.998 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} && (= 1 \text{ node per } n\text{-shift in substrate units)} \\ N_{\text{channels}} &= 30 && (\text{FCC: } 2 \times 12 + 6, \text{ from } 90^\circ \text{ and } 180^\circ \text{ pairs)} \\ r_{\text{d}} &= 147.3 \text{ Mpc} && (\text{from Formula 3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Output: } 2.998 \times 10^8 / (30 \times 147.3 \times 3.086 \times 10^{22}) = 2.198 \times 10^{-18} / \text{s} = 67.8 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$$

$$\text{Observed: } 67.4 \text{ km/s/Mpc (Planck 2018)}$$

$$\text{Match: } 0.7\%$$

In pure ToCA units:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= 1 / (30 \times r_{d_in_nodes}) \\ &= 1 / (30 \times 2.813 \times 10^{59}) \\ &= 1.185 \times 10^{-61} \text{ per n-shift} \end{aligned}$$

Physical meaning: The Hubble radius is 30 sound horizons. 30 is the number of independent tension processing pathways in the FCC cell (the channels through which expansion is processed). Each channel processes one sound horizon of distance.

Formula 6: S_8 Tension from H_0 Tension

$$S_8_tension = \alpha \times H_0_tension$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 1 - f_total = 1 - 0.312 = 0.688 && \text{(relaxed fraction)} \\ H_0_tension &= \Delta H / H_0 = 0.0831 && \text{(8.3\%)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Output: } 0.688 \times 0.0831 = 0.0572 = 5.7\%$$

$$\text{Observed: } (0.836 - 0.789) / 0.836 = 5.6\%$$

$$\text{Match: } 1.3\%$$

Physical meaning: The S_8 measurement bias equals the H_0 measurement bias scaled by the relaxed fraction. S_8 measures structure, which is mediated by the coupling between locked and relaxed sectors (thus α -dependent). H_0 measures expansion directly.

Formula 7: Volume Consistency

$$V_now / V_ls = (1 + z_ls)^3$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} z_ls &= 1091 && \text{(last scattering redshift)} \\ (1+z)^3 &= 1091^3 = 1.299 \times 10^9 \end{aligned}$$

Node count:

$$\text{Nodes at last scattering: } \sim 2.596 \times 10^{176}$$

$$\text{Nodes now: } \sim 3.381 \times 10^{185}$$

$$\text{Ratio: } 1.302 \times 10^9$$

Match: 0.3%

Formula 8: β as Emergent Attractor

$$\beta = f \times (1 - f)$$

At equilibrium (from simulation):

$$f \approx 0.215 \text{ (simulation alone)} \rightarrow \beta \approx 0.169$$

$$f \approx 0.305 \text{ (with } \lambda/\mu=11/2, k=0.24) \rightarrow \beta \approx 0.212$$

$$f \approx 0.312 \text{ (analytical formula)} \rightarrow \beta \approx 0.214$$

$$\beta_{\text{max}} = 0.25 \text{ at } f = 0.5 \text{ (geometric maximum)}$$

The system optimises β , not f . Expansion pulls f below 0.5.

Formula 9: Γ (Relaxation Efficiency)

$$\Gamma = \beta \times (1 - D_{\text{floor}}/D)$$

where:

$$\beta = f(1-f) \approx 0.212$$

$$D_{\text{floor}}/D \approx 0.90 \text{ (emergent from simulation)}$$

$$\text{Output: } 0.212 \times 0.10 = 0.0212$$

No free constants. $\beta_{\text{max}} = 1/4$ explains the factor of $\frac{1}{4}$ that appears throughout.

Formula 10: λ/μ Ratio

$$\lambda/\mu = N_{\text{gradient}} / N_{\text{twist}} = 11/2 = 5.5$$

From FCC: 13 cell elements.

2 participate in twist-locking (require rotation).

11 participate in gradient-locking (no rotation needed).

The ratio of locking to release efficiency = 11/2.

Formula 11: Dynamic k (Interaction Rate)

$k_{\text{local}} = \text{available} \times \text{latency} \times 0.25$

where:

$\text{available} = \text{clip}((T - D_{\text{floor}}) / T, 0, 1)$

$\text{latency} = \text{gradient} / (\text{gradient} + 0.05)$

$0.25 = \beta_{\text{max}}$ (maximum efficiency per interaction)

$\lambda_{\text{local}} = 5.5 \times k_{\text{local}}$

$\mu_{\text{local}} = k_{\text{local}}$

Geometric estimate: $k \approx (\text{processing_fraction}) / \sqrt{3} = 0.385 / 1.732 = 0.222$

Simulation needs: $k \approx 0.24$

Gap: 8%

Formula 12: D_floor (Emergent)

$D_{\text{floor}} = \text{frustration} \times \text{scale_factor}$

where:

$\text{frustration} = \text{convolve}(|\text{state} - 0.5|, \text{FCC_kernel} / 12)$

$\text{scale_factor} \approx 0.1$

Or locally: $D_{\text{floor}} = 0.04 + 0.06 \times (\text{n_frozen_neighbors} / 12)$

D_{floor} is NOT imposed. It emerges from boundary frustration between frozen and relaxed regions. $D_{\text{floor}}/D \approx 0.90$ at equilibrium.

Formula 13: Expansion Rate (Dynamic)

$\text{expansion_rate} = \text{base} \times \alpha \times (D / D_{\text{initial}})$

where:

$\text{base} \approx 0.005$ (per simulation step)

$\alpha = 1 - f_{\text{frozen}}$ (relaxed fraction)

D/D_{initial} = current tension relative to initial

In ToCA units:

$$H(n) = \alpha(n) \times \Gamma(n) \times v_{\max} / r_{\text{scale}}$$

Formula 14: Pressure = S_8

$$S_8 \propto \beta = f(1-f)$$

Pressure (clustering compression):

$$P_{\text{local}} = \text{coefficient} \times (n_{\text{frozen}}/12)^2 \times \text{clip}(1-T, 0, 1)$$

S_8 measures clustering amplitude.

Pressure drives clustering.

They are the same quantity seen from different sides.

How They Connect: The Chain

FCC geometry ($z=12, 13$ elements)

↓

$$\lambda/\mu = 11/2, \Omega_b/\Omega_m = 2/13, N_{\text{channels}} = 30$$

↓

Simulation → $f_{\text{twist}} \approx 0.06$, β attractor, D_{floor} emergence

↓

$$f_{\text{total}} = f_{\text{twist}} \times (13/2) / (1+\beta_{\text{max}}) = 0.312$$

↓

$$r_d = c/\sqrt{3} \times t_{\text{ls}} \times 2 \times (1+z) = 147.3 \text{ Mpc}$$

↓

$$H_0 = c / (30 \times r_d) = 67.8 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$$

↓

Latency correction: $\text{measured} = \text{true} \times (1 + \beta_{\text{max}} \times \Delta H/H)$

↓

$$S_8 \text{ tension} = \alpha \times H_0 \text{ tension}$$

↓

All observables from one lattice geometry. Zero free parameters.

Falsification: Which Formula Fails?

If this is wrong...	...then this formula fails	...and this prediction breaks
FCC is not the lattice	All of them	Everything
2/13 is wrong	Formula 1, 2	Baryon ratio, latency correction
$\beta_{\max} \neq 1/4$	Formula 2, 4, 6	Latency correction, f_{total} , S_8
30 channels is wrong	Formula 5	H_0
$c/\sqrt{3}$ is wrong	Formula 3, 5	r_d , H_0
Gradient-locking doesn't cascade	Formula 4	f_{total}

Every number traces back to FCC geometry. Break the geometry, break the theory.

Full derivations in: ToCA Core Dynamics v1.5 Axioms in: ToCA Foundation v5.0 Available at: [website]